1 2 3 4	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com 44 Montgomery Street, 41st FloorSan Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 293 6858 Facsimile: (415) 999 9695	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice) bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice) srabin@susmangodfrey.com 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 336-8330 MORGAN & MORGAN John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice) rmcgee@forthepeople.com 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: (813) 223-5505 Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th FloorLos Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted pro hac vice jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
18					
19					
20 21 22	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated,	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK JOINT SUBMISSION RE: SEALING PORTIONS OF ORDER ON			
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED REQUEST TO DEPOSE SUNDAR PICHAI (DKT.			
24	T IMITUTE,	758)			
	v.				
25	GOOGLE LLC,	Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ			
26	Defendant.				
27	Detellualit.				
28					

1						
1	October 3, 2022					
2	Submitted via ECF					
3	Magistrate Judge Susan van Keulen					
4	San Jose Courthouse					
5	Courtroom 6 - 4th Floor 280 South 1st					
6	Street San Jose, CA 95113					
7	Re: Joint Submission Re: Sealing Portions of Order on Plaintiffs' Renewed					
8	Request to Depose Sundar Pichai (Dkt. 758) in Response to Dkt. 759 Brown v. Google LLC, Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK (N.D. Cal.)					
9	Your Honor:					
10	Pursuant to Your Honor's September 26, 2022 Redaction Order (Dkt. 759) regarding					
11	sealing portions of The Court's September 26, 2022 Order on Plaintiffs' Renewed Request to					
12	Depose Sundar Pichai (Dkt. 758), Plaintiffs and Google LLC ("Google") jointly submit this					
13	statement.					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	2 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK					

Google respectfully seeks to seal the following portions of the Order on Plaintiffs' Renewed Request to Depose Sundar Pichai (Dkt. 758) ("Order"), which, according to Google, contains Google's confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly, including various types of Google's internal projects and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. This information is highly confidential and should be protected.

This Administrative Motion pertains to the following information contained in the Order:

Document	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
September 26, 2022	Portions of Order at:	Google
Order on Plaintiffs'		
Renewed Request	Pages 5:8-9, 5:13, 5:19, 5:22	
to Depose Sundar		
Pichai		

The parties conferred on the proposed redactions to the Order. Plaintiffs take no position on sealing the proposed redactions.

I. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial records in a civil case is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as reservoirs of . . . sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing"). Sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." *France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc.*, 2014 WL 4965995, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); *see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information").

II. THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS EASILY MEET THE "GOOD CAUSE" STANDARD AND SHOULD ALL BE SEALED

Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain medical information or "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 589-99; see also *Turner v. United States*, 2019 WL 4732143, at *9 (finding good cause to seal "confidential medical information"). Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that "contain[] confidential information about the operation of [the party's] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information." *Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*, 2014 WL 6986068, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014). Materials that could harm a litigant's competitive standing may be sealed even under the "compelling reasons" standard. *See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*, 2015 WL 984121, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015) (information "is appropriately sealable under the 'compelling reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive disadvantage") (citation omitted).

Here, the Order comprises confidential information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly. Specifically, this information provides details related to the various types of Google's internal projects and their proprietary functionalities. Such information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services while complying with legal and privacy obligations.

Public disclosure of the above-listed information would harm Google's competitive standing it has earned through years of innovation and careful deliberation, by revealing sensitive aspects of Google's proprietary systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. That alone is a proper basis to seal such information. *See, e.g., Free Range Content, Inc. v. Google Inc.*, No. 14-cv-02329-BLF, Dkt. No. 192, at 3-9 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2017) (granting Google's motion to seal certain sensitive business information related to Google's processes and policies to ensure the integrity and security of a different advertising system); *Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*,

data because "disclosure would harm their competitive standing by giving competitors insight they do not have"); *Trotsky v. Travelers Indem. Co.*, 2013 WL 12116153, at *8 (W.D. Wash. May 8, 2013) (granting motion to seal as to "internal research results that disclose statistical coding that is not publicly available").

Moreover, if publicly disclosed, malicious actors may use such information to seek to compromise Google's internal systems and data structures. Google would be placed at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, and data related to its users could similarly be at risk. *See, e.g., In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig.*, 2013 WL 5366963, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects how messages are transmitted" because if made public, it "could lead to a breach in the security of the Gmail system"). The security threat is an additional reason for this Court to seal the identified information.

The information Google seeks to redact is the minimal amount of information needed to protect its internal systems and operations from being exposed to not only its competitors but also to nefarious actors who may improperly seek access to and disrupt these systems and operations. The "good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard, Google's sealing request is warranted.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified portions of the Order.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

1	Respectfully,		
2	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	
3	SULLIVAN, LLP	/s/ Mark C. Mao	
	/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)	Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com	
4	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com	Sean Phillips Rodriguez (CA Bar No.	
5	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700	262437)	
	Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 705-7400	<u>srodriguez@bsfllp.com</u> Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No.	
6	Fax: (312) 705-7401	238027)	
7	Diana M. Daalittla (CA Dan Na. 142046)	brichardson@bsfllp.com 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor	
	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	San Francisco, CA 94104	
8	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)	Tel: (415) 293 6858	
9	sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	Fax: (415) 999 9695	
	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Inner W. Inner (market)	
10	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	James W. Lee (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jlee@bsfllp.com	
11	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Rossana Baeza (pro hac vice)	
11	(G) D 37 (14605)	rbaeza@bsfllp.com	
12	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	100 SE 2 nd Street, Suite 2800	
	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	Miami, FL 33130	
13	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	Tel: (305) 539-8400 Fax: (305) 539-1304	
14	Crystal Nix-Hines (CA Bar No. 326971)	1 ux. (503) 557 1504	
1	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com	William Christopher Carmody (pro hac	
15	Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705) alyolson@quinnemanuel.com	vice)	
16	Marie Hayrapetian (CA Bar No. 315797)	<u>bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com</u> Shawn J. Rabin (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
10	mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com	srabin@susmangodfrey.com	
17	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Steven Shepard (pro hac vice)	
	Los Angeles, CA 90017	sshepard@susmangodfrey.com	
18	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	Alexander P. Frawley (<i>pro hac vice</i>) <u>afrawley@susmangodfrey.com</u>	
19	1 405 1100	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
1)	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted pro hac	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32 nd Floor	
20	vice) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	New York, NY 10019	
21	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	Tel: (212) 336-8330	
21	New York, NY 10010	Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891)	
22	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	abonn@susmangodfrey.com	
	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
23	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067	
24	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com	Tel: (310) 789-3100	
24	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)	101. (310) 707 3100	
25	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice)	
	Carl Spilly (admitted pro hac vice) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com	jyanchunis@forthepeople.com	
26	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice)	
27	Washington D.C., 20005	rmcgee@forthepeople.com MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.	
١ ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Telephone: (202) 538-8000	201 N Franklin Street, 7th Floor	
28	Facsimile: (202) 538-8100	Tampa, FL 33602	
		4 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVI	
- 1	IOD III CUD HOOLO	NUMBER OF A LINIC DODITIONS OF ORDER ON DUALITIES	

	l .		
1	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com	Tel: (813) 223-5505 Fax: (813) 222-4736	
2	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	Michael F. Ram (CA Bar No. 104805) mram@forthepeople.com	
3	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500	
5	Attorneys for Defendant	San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel: (415) 358-6913	
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		5 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YC	3R-SVI

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE I am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Submission. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in the filing of this document. Dated: October 3, 2022 By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro Andrew H. Schapiro Counsel on behalf of Google LLC Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK